USA alone holds 31% of the world's debt. You think rich people are to blame for the economic disaster? The top 20% pay for 84% of the income tax in America.

Welfare recipients bid up the cost of rent because land owners can increase the costs. Low home ownerships also contributes to this.

2/3rds of American workers are employed by small businesses. Imagine if all business was government controlled.

Whites as a minority in Europe is a hoax. Zionists are trying to make Europe multi cultural example 75% Whites, 25% others (mostly Muslim Arabs). The purpose is to create a great divide knowing that it is almost impossible to breed a countries population out of existence. They know that a population will come together and revolt if they feel they are being bred out of existence.

Equality is stupid. People will mass migrate to your countries if you keep embracing them. They will come for your high paying jobs and will leave once they are gone. You should tolerate immigrants, not create society for them. If they choose to be citizens they should go through a process, there should be quotas on how many immigrants they take in. They have to want to stay here and embrace our society. After one generation, they can get the same work opportunities as the citizens there. Australia has the right system in place.

We need to start dividing people based on race and political position. Communities have always been formed this way. It does not make sense to have two separate political groups competing with eachother in a country, this divides people. In America, there should be a White representative towards the East/ North, Black in the Southern states, Asian in the West. We do not have to force segregation on people, we just have to promote it and relocate people. Similarly, if we accept immigrants they are to go to the assigned sections, there cannot be an overrepresentation of a group in a certain town/city.

How to fix a country- accept a different currency, it will crash the economy.

Hoarding vs. Holding. American billionaires are accused of "hoarding" the wealth by Bernie Sanders supporters. Hoarding implies that they took money that should have gone to the public or they ripped you off by charging unfair prices. The reality is that most billionaires are holding the country's wealth. For example, Charles Koch is worth nearly 43 billion dollars. His assets are worth that much, taxing his companies will only make them depreciate in value which will make it worth less when you go to sell it making the country less valuable. He is also this rich because of inflation making our currency worth less and inflating the value of his assets.

What exactly is he "hoarding?" According to Sanders supporters, they are misled (or don't care) to realize that Koch does not get a check in the mail for 100 million dollars. He may only make 100k in a yearly salary. Another criticism that Sanders

supporters accuse him of is buying elections. This is true, but he does this as an investment for his company so he can get tax breaks or subsidiaries. However, unions also buy elections under the pretense that they are the "working people" which amounts to the same thing. So the answer is to eliminate it as a whole.

We can raise taxes on businesses. This will make the shares go down (Wal-Mart minimum wage hike late 2015). This will also take money away from the shareholders' dividends which these people (such as retired people) go out and spend money with. The less money they spend, the higher the prices because of economies of scale. All you are doing is taking money from one section and giving it to somewhere else.

To show how bad "socialism" is, you can't even pick and choose the date you want someone to fix your pipes. You also cannot request that plumber again and write a good review on them. That would be favoritism. The state will figure it out your next plumber for you. In these countries, you could not give food away for free or else you would be thrown in jail. In Venezuela, you are now allowed to make a profit on goods and you produce. They also have a 15 Euro an hour minimum wage but the cost of living is so high that you cannot live off of it so the citizens buy from the black market. In 1989, 20% of the population in the Soviet Union was classified at the poverty line as oppose to 14% in the USA.

In Cuba, work is voluntary, but leaving the country is not as they will sink your boat if you do so. Cuba also has a lower GDP growth rate than Haiti and has no work discipline meaning that you can show up to your job, suck at it, and never get fired. Talk about safety hazards. You will never make over a fixed amount no matter how hard you work. Oh, and red meat is banned since collectivized farming is near bankrupt at its peak. You also do not get choices between different foods; the state will figure that out for you as you wait in line for groceries.

Social Security is theft disguised as a good deal. On average, it pays out $1,100 a year. The tax rate is 6.2% for employer and 6.2% for employee. If you earn 30k a year and you work 45 years that is $83,700. That money could be better spent to invest with and receive 1.5% dividends yearly.

You don't give your government a monopoly over resources regardless of what they offer you. This elevates them to a level of complete control over you. They can take away property rights, list you as an enemy of the state, throw you in jail for speaking out, not allow you to leave, or cause intentional shortages to lower your standard of living to start a war. How could Hitler have been evil when he unified and fought to protect Europe from the Soviet Union who fit this description and had already invaded Finland and bombed Sweden?

The problem with our institutions is corruption. If we do not hold people accountable, they will not quit. An example would be someone being told to go home after not being good at a sport. We need to hold our authorities to this same

standard. If we do not inform the citizens and let them judge what is too much corruption, they will accept it. We need to get our citizens involved either through making them earn the right to vote or by having them get a more prominent voice in a society. The question of corruption becomes what is too much? A constitution can decide this or a court of law will do that. We know our citizens hold governments accountable, take for example the military in Egypt standing up to the oppression of the USA in recent years. Once we install our republic and our nationalism, it will only be a matter of time before the movement succeeds.

People do not feel a sense of attachment to their country. If we create an unstoppable republic where our citizens get a sense of responsibility, they will respond properly. We know America does not have this, citizens protest what they think is in THEIR favor and not what is in their countries best interests. Example- Sanders supporters protesting for $15 an hour minimum wage or Trump supporters wanting illegal immigrants deported. We need to be objective about these issues.

Anarchists are people who feel that there should be no forms of authority/ bosses in the workplace. This means no forms of stock ownership in companies. How can you fund a company? How do you determine which fails and which succeed? It is illegal to invest in them, so I'm guessing elections will determine the results. Anarchists also give too much control for the people at the bottom by letting them vote on better benefits and how the company should be run.

Corporatism is great so long as your governments and corporations abide by the laws and your citizens are informed of the laws in place so they can protest when it is necessary. Even if they were creating oligopolies, charging us more, that is nothing. Libertarianism looks to give all of the power to corporations without putting laws in place such as companies buying stock in their competitors.

Separate social policies from economic when given the chance. Our religious beliefs are our social beliefs there are no ideologies. There would be no neo nazi, black lives matter, or globalists since we all have our own nations. Suppose it doesn't work, then they have to form their own countries, they cannot lay down a claim that their religious beliefs is what resolves countries disputes or that other faiths are responsible for your disagreement. I outline this because it has come to the point in America where each group needs to separate. What is left to resolve in a country is borders, sunni/ shia, and economic.